

Amendment No. 5 is pending and before it is voted upon they may offer their amendment to section 1.17.

Is there any question about the procedure?

One thing I do want to make clear is that this choice comes after the vote to reconsider. The amendments would not be in order pending the motion to reconsider.

Delegate Bamberger.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Mr. President, if the motion to reconsider fails, will amendments to section 1.17 be in order?

THE PRESIDENT: If the motion to reconsider fails, then Amendment No. 5 is still rejected. Section 1.17 is before the Convention. It is open to further amendment, assuming the further amendment is not in contradiction to some amendment previously adopted, and I could not tell you that without seeing it.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Did the Chair state that the delegates who proposed to offer these several amendments had indicated any intent? Which of all the alternatives did they choose, to offer the amendments in the event the motion to reconsider passes, before or after we vote on Amendment No. 5?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes and no. Some did choose, some did not.

In at least one instance where there was an indication that they would probably offer the amendment while the vote to adopt or reject Amendment No. 5 was pending, there was a suggestion to the Chair later that they might not; so the Chair does not know.

However, the Chair would be disposed to recognize at the appropriate time as the first of the amendments the one that was offered by Delegate Scanlan, because I had indicated that before, except that he advised me that he had revised it. I am not certain I have it here, but I will look.

Delegate Scanlan, is the amendment that is marked here as "FF" the one that you proposed to have in lieu of the one that you had prepared several days ago?

DELEGATE SCANLAN: That is correct, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Then there was an amendment by Delegate Adkins, "GG".

Delegate Adkins, is that the amendment that you would propose to offer at whatever the appropriate time is?

DELEGATE ADKINS: At the moment, my answer to that would be yes, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: I am not suggesting you tell us when; that is up to you.

DELEGATE ADKINS: I am reserving a certain amount of flexibility in the matter.

THE PRESIDENT: None of the other amendments that I have were ones that were here the other day.

Delegate Bamberger.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: Mr. President, would the Chair ask the pages to distribute to the other delegates those amendments?

I suppose that the sponsors of them, if they have had any second thoughts and do not intend to offer them, should not have them distributed.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair would not want to distribute any amendments that the sponsors did not want distributed. The fact that they are passed up to the Chair is merely for the Chair's information.

The Chair would be glad to have distributed any amendments that sponsors of the amendments desire to have distributed.

Delegate Bamberger.

DELEGATE BAMBERGER: I then would ask the sponsors if they would consider indicating to the Chair if they think it would be helpful for us to have those amendments before us.

THE PRESIDENT: I intended that by the comment I just made.

The Chair will order distributed any amendments which the sponsors desire distributed.

Delegate Scanlan.

DELEGATE SCANLAN: Mr. Chairman, would you distribute "FF"?

THE PRESIDENT: Will the pages please distribute Amendment "FF"?

Delegate Sherbow?

DELEGATE SHERBOW: Mr. President, I have no objection to the distribution of the amendment marked "CC", which would be offered only in the event 1.17 should be before the house.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair will not distribute unless you request it.